

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JUSTIN HAMPTON,

Defendant.

Case No. [13-cr-00382-JSW-1](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**


Re: Dkt. No. 32

Before the Court is the motion of Defendant Justin Hampton (“movant”) for an order under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside or correct his sentence on the ground that his sentence has been rendered invalid by the Supreme Court’s holding in *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S.Ct. 2551 (2015). This *Johnson* claim appears colorable under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and merits an answer from the government.

The following deadlines will apply, unless the parties submit a stipulation and proposed order for a modified briefing schedule: (1) within 75 days after the § 2255 motion was filed, the government shall file an opposition conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings, showing cause why the Court should not “vacate, set aside or correct the sentence” being served by movant; (2) movant shall file a reply brief 45 days after the opposition is filed. Thereafter, the matter will be deemed submitted on the papers, unless the Court orders otherwise.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 10, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
United States District Judge

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28